UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Indiana

United States of America	,		
v. Ronnie Butrum)	Case No: USM No:	1:21-cr-235-JPH-MJD
)		Reg. No. 56421-509
Date of Previous Amended Judgment:	05/09/2022))		
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)		Defendant's	Attorney
ORDER REGARDIN	NG MOTION	FOR SE	NTENCE REDUCTION
	UANT TO 18		
§ 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of is subsequently been lowered and made retros	imprisonment impo active by the Unite on, and taking into	osed based o d States Sen account the	tencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: DENIED. GRANTED and the last judgment issued) of		reviously im	posed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in
,			age 2 when motion is granted)
Except as otherwise provided, all provision	as of the judgment	dated C	5/09/2022 shall remain in effect.
SO ORDERED.			
Date: 5/28/2024	James	Patrick	Hanlon
	James Patr	ick Hanlo	on
	United Stat		9
	Southern D	District of	Indiana

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) No. 1:21-cr-00235-JPH-MJD
RONNIE BUTRUM,) -01
Defendant.)

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO REDUCE SENTENCE

Ronnie Butrum has filed a motion to reduce his sentence under Amendment 821 to the United States Sentencing Guidelines, which retroactively lowered the sentencing guidelines calculation for some defendants. Dkt. 41.

Under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c), a district court "may not modify a term of imprisonment once it has been imposed" unless an exception applies. *See Dillon v. United States*, 560 U.S. 817, 824 (2010). One of those exceptions is for "a defendant who has been sentenced to a term of imprisonment based on a sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered by the Sentencing Commission." 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). Motions for sentence reductions under § 3582(c)(2) are evaluated in "a two-step inquiry." *Dillon*, 560 U.S. at 826–27. First, the district court must determine whether the reduction is permissible under the amended guidelines. *Id.* If that first step is satisfied, the district court must consider "any applicable § 3553(a) factors and determine whether,

in its discretion, the reduction . . . is warranted . . . under the particular circumstances of the case." *Id*.

Here, Mr. Butrum seeks a reduction under Amendment 821 Part A, which limits "status points" imposed for having committed the offense while under a criminal justice sentence. Dkt. 41 at 2; USSG §4A1.1. The government responds that Mr. Butrum is not eligible for a reduction because the amendment does not change his guidelines range. Dkt. 48.

Mr. Butrum originally received two status points, dkt. 33 at 14, which under Amendment 821 Part A would now be one status point, USSG §4A1.1(e). That change would make Mr. Butrum's criminal history score ten instead of eleven. *See* dkt. 33 at 14. But criminal history scores of ten and eleven both result in a criminal history category of V, so Mr. Butrum's guideline range would not change. USSG §5A (sentencing table). The Court may reduce Mr. Butrum's sentence only if his "sentencing range . . . has subsequently been lowered by the Sentencing Commission." 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2); *see* USSG §1B1.10(a) (same).

Mr. Butrum therefore is not eligible for a sentence reduction under *Dillon*'s first step, and his motion is **DENIED**. Dkt. [41].

SO ORDERED.

Date: 5/28/2024

James Patrick Hanlon
United States District Judge
Southern District of Indiana

Distribution:

All electronically registered counsel

Ronnie Butrum Reg. No. 56421-509 FCI Beckley Inmate Mail/Parcels P.O. Box 350 Beaver, WV 25813